

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 17 (2006) 336–346

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry

Highly diastereoselective allylation and reduction of chiral camphor-derived a-ketoamides

Neelesh A. Kulkarni, Shy-Guey Wang, Li-Chen Lee, Huei Ru Tsai, Uppala Venkatesham and Kwunmin Chen*

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei 116, Taiwan, ROC

Received 14 November 2005; revised 17 January 2006; accepted 18 January 2006 Available online 20 February 2006

Abstract—The diastereoselective allylation and reduction of camphor-derived α -ketoamides to give optically enriched α -hydroxyl amides with high to excellent stereoselectivities are described. Allylation was carried out using allyltributylstannane in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of a Lewis acid to afford the desired homoallylic alcohols in relatively high chemical yields (up to 98%) and stereoselectivities (up to 98% de). Diastereoselective reductions were performed with the relatively bulky hydride K-Selectride at -78 °C to give the corresponding α -hydroxy amides in excellent chemical yields (up to 98%) and stereoselectivities (up to 98% de). The absolute configuration of the new stereogenic center of the major diastereomer was established by X-ray crystallographic analysis. Finally, stereochemical induction and the Lewis acid dependent reversal of stereoselectivity is described. 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The allylmetal addition and hydride reduction of a carbonyl functionality has been extensively studied for over the last few decades and has proven to be an enormously successful method for the construction of carbon–car-bon bonds. The enantioselective version of allylation,^{[1](#page-9-0)} and hydride reduction,^{[2](#page-9-0)} of C=O and C=N functionalities, to produce the corresponding optically enriched alcohols and amines, have been documented in the literature. These utilities stimulated an important synergy between the fundamental studies of the stereochemistry and applications in target-oriented synthesis.[3](#page-9-0) On the other hand, the diastereoselective allylation and reduction of an a-dicarbonyl functionality bearing a chiral auxiliary provide an alternative route to the preparation of important structural arrays.[4](#page-9-0) The diastereoselective allylation of a-ketoamides to give homoallylic hydroxyl acid derivatives can be readily used in further functional group transformations.[5](#page-10-0) The diastereoselective reduction of a-ketoamides, to produce chiral a-hydroxyl acid derivatives, which are fairly common structural units, that are useful in complex bioactive natural products synthesis, has been described.^{[6](#page-10-0)} Considerable interest

has been focused on the development of novel methods for the preparation of two possible stereoisomers from a single chiral material, a topic that is at the forefront of synthetic organic chemistry.^{[7](#page-10-0)}

In continuation of our research interest in the area of asymmetric synthesis, $\frac{8}{3}$ $\frac{8}{3}$ $\frac{8}{3}$ we herein report a full account of the diastereoselective allylation and hydride reduction of a variety of a-ketoamides, derived from camphorbased chiral auxiliaries ($Xc = A-C$) ([Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0) to give the corresponding α -hydroxy amides in high to excellent yields and diastereoselectivities. The stereochemical course of the reaction and proposed mechanisms for the reactions are discussed.

2. Results and discussion

The starting chiral α -ketoamides 1a–j were readily prepared from the camphor-based chiral auxiliaries: camphor N-phenyl pyrazolidinone A, camphor N-tosylpyrazolidinone \mathbf{B} , 9 and camphorsultam $\mathbf C$ and the chemical structures were unambiguously assigned by ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{13}C$ NMR, HRMS as well as X-ray crystallographic analysis in some cases. A variety of aromatic, heteroaromatic and aliphatic α -ketoamides were utilized in the diastereoselective allylation and reduction reactions while the results are summarized in [Tables 1–3](#page-1-0).

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 2 89315831; fax: +886 2 29324249; e-mail: kchen@cc.ntnu.edu.tw

^{0957-4166/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetasy.2006.01.011

Figure 1. Structures of various camphor-derived α -ketoamides 1a–j.

2.1. Asymmetric allylation

Xc

O

O

R

With various α -carbonyl amides in hand, we examined the diastereoselective allylation reaction. Treatment of camphor N-phenyl pyrazolidinone glyoxylate 1a,^{8a} with

allyltributylstannane in the absence of a Lewis acid, provided the desired product in 50% yield and low stereoselectivity (Table 1, entry 1). Various Lewis acids, including $Sn(OTf)_{2}$, $Sc(OTf)_{3}$, $Sm(OTf)_{3}$ and $Yb(OTf)_{3}$, were then employed and all the reactions led to only modest stereoselection with good to high chemical yields (entries 2–5). The reactivity was improved upon when the reaction was carried out in the presence of $Eu(OTf)_{3}$ in $CH₃CN$ (entry 6). The diastereoselectivity was determined by 1 H NMR analysis of the crude products as judged by the integration of the C-2 methine proton resonances (camphor numbering). The absolute configuration of the major diastereomer was assigned as an (S)-configuration based on a single crystal X-ray analysis. Solvent effects were then examined and $CH₃CN$ found to be the solvent of choice for the reaction (entries 7–9). The use of 0.5 equiv of a Lewis acid provided the desired products in 91% yield, while the reaction proceeded sluggishly when 0.1 equiv of Lewis acid was used (entries 10 and 11). It thus appeared that the use of a stoichiometric amount of a Lewis acid in $CH₃CN$ was

Table 1. Diastereoselective allylation of camphor N-phenylpyrazolidinone derived α -ketoamides 1a–e with allyltributylstannane in the presence of a Lewis acid^a

a: R = H $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{h}$ $c: B = Me$ $d: B = Ft$ **e:** R = 2-thienyl

^a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out at ambient temperature using 1 (0.16 mmol), allyltributylstannane (2.0 equiv), a Lewis acid and the solvent indicated.
b Total isolated yield $(2 + 3)$.

^b Total isolated yield (2+3).
^c Diastereomeric ratios was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the relevant peaks and/or HPLC analysis [(Daicel chiralcel chiral OD column: 2propanol/hexane = $05:95$, 1.0 mL/min)] from the crude products.

^d The absolute stereochemistry of the new stereogenic carbon center was determined by single crystal X-ray analysis.

 e^e Reaction was performed at -30 °C.

Table 2. Diastereoselective allylation of camphorsultam phenylglyoxylate 1f and camphor N-tosylpyrazolidinone 1g with allyltributylstannane in the presence of a Lewis acid^a

^a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out at ambient temperature using 1 (0.16 mmol), allyltributylstannane (2.0 equiv), a Lewis acid and the solvent indicated.

^b Total isolated yield.

 $\rm c$ Diastereomeric ratios was determined by H NMR analysis of the relevant peaks of the crude products.

^d The absolute stereochemistry of the newly generated stereogenic center was determined by single crystal X-ray analyses of 4f, 5f, 6g and 7g. ^e Trace amount of the products were observed.

f See Ref. [9](#page-10-0).

Table 3. Diastereoselective reduction of camphor N-phenyl and N-tosylpyrazolidinone-derived a-ketoamides 1b–e and 1g, i–j with various reducing agents^a

^a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out at -78 °C using 1 (0.26 mmol), metal hydride (0.26 mmol) and the solvent indicated. b Isolated yields of the products. \rm^b Isolated yields of the products.
 \rm^c Diastereomeric ratios was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of relevant peaks of the crude products.

^d Absolute configuration of the newly generated stereogenic center was deduced from the X-ray crystallographic analysis 8b, 8d-e and 11g. \degree Reaction was performed at 0 \degree C.

For entries 10–13, reactions were carried out at -78 °C using (0.43 mmol), metal hydride (0.86 mmol) in THF.

optimal for the reaction (entry 6). We next investigated the allylation of camphor N-phenyl pyrazolidinone phenylglyoxylate 1b, under the developed conditions. Treatment of α -ketoamide 1b under the same reaction conditions gave the product in 50% yield and 44% de (entry 12). Both the reactivity and stereoselectivity were improved when Eu(OTf)₃ was replaced with $Zn(OTf)_{2}$ under similar conditions (entry 13). Interestingly, this was further improved upon when $Sn(OTf)_{2}$ was used (entry 14). We then studied the substrate scope of the allylation reaction under optimum conditions. To this end, a high material yield and reasonable stereoselectivity were observed when camphor N-phenylpyrazolidinone methylglyoxylate 1c was used (entry 15). However, the selectivity was improved to 76% de when $Zn(OTf)_2$ was employed instead of $Sn(OTf)_2$ (entry 16). It is noteworthy that the stereoselectivity was reversed when the reaction was carried out using several different Lewis acids (compare entries 16 and 18). The allylation reactions of aliphatic and hetero aromatic backbone architectures 1d–e proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding allylation products in good to excellent stereoselectivities (entries 19 and 20).

The stereochemical outcome can be rationalized by invoking the conformational preferences of a-ketoamides $1b-e$ in the transition state (Fig. 2).^{[10](#page-10-0)} The pseudo-planar s-trans conformation (A) of the α -dicarbonyl group in 1a–e is electronically favored over its s-cis conformer (A') in the solid state; this is supported by single crystal X-ray analyses of 1b–e. This is due to the avoidance of dipole–dipole repulsions of the two carbonyl functionalities. However, the coordination of the Lewis acid metal ion to the dicarbonyl oxygen atoms shifted the reaction toward the formation of the s-cis conformation. The equilibrium between the different conformational states is highly dependent upon the type and amount of Lewis acid used in the reaction. From the data, we proposed that for strong Lewis acids, such as $Zn(OTf)$ ₃ and $Sn(OTf)$ ₂, the metal coordinates to the dicarbonyl oxygen atoms to give the desired major diastereomer. On the other hand, $Eu(OTf)$ ₃ coordinates to dicarbonyl oxygen atoms in most substrates while mono-coordinates to an oxygen atom in a specific substrate (1c). Spectroscopic evidence for the dual coordination behavior of $Sn(\hat{O}Tf)$ ₂ was obtained by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy using camphor N-phenylpyrazolidinone phenylglyoxylate 1b. The 100 MHz 13 C NMR spectrum of a mixture of $Sn(OTf)₂$ and $1b(1:1)$ complex in $CD₃CN$ at room temperature showed that the original dicarbonyl

Figure 2. Proposed mechanism for the reaction of α -ketoamide 1b and 1c with allyltributylstannane in the presence of a Lewis acid.

Figure 3. Proposed mechanism for the reaction of camphorsultam phenyl glyoxylate 1f with allyltributylstannane in the presence of a Lewis acid.

signals at δ 160.60 and 189.40 ppm were shifted upfield to δ 160.47 and 189.22 ppm, respectively.^{[11](#page-10-0)} These results indicate that the Sn is strongly coordinated to dicarbonyl oxygen atoms, resulting in the formation of the preferred s-cis conformation. The major diastereoisomer is then obtained when the allyl reagent approaches from the less hindered bottom si face of the α -carbonyl group, to afford the desired product.

We further investigated the scope of the chiral auxiliaries; these results are presented in [Table 2.](#page-2-0) Camphorsultam, one of the most widely used chiral auxiliaries, has great versatility in organic synthesis. Treatment of phenylglyoxylate 1f with allyltributystannane using $Sn(OTf)_2$ afforded the desired product in good stereoselectivity [\(Table 2,](#page-2-0) entry 1). The absolute stereochemistry of the major diastereomer was assigned as an (R) -configuration by X-ray crystallographic analysis. It is interesting to note that a reversal of stereoselectivity occurred when $Zn(OTf)$ ₂ was used in place of $Sn(OTf)$ ₂ (entry 2). The influence of the Lewis acid in causing a reversal in stereoselectivity deserves special attention. The use of different Zn metals failed to improve the stereoselectivities (entries 3 and 4). The effects of the amount of Lewis acid was then studied. It is interesting to note that for camphor N-tosylpyrazolidinone B and camphorsultam C derived substrates, the use of more than 1.0 equiv of a Lewis acid was required to achieve high material yields ([Table 2](#page-2-0), entries 5–7). The use of 3.0 equiv of $Zn(OTf)₂$ provided 5f in high chemical yield and stereoselectivity (entry 7). This may be due to the presence of the sulfone functionality in the auxiliaries B and C. Studies of solvent effects indicate that $CH₃CN$ is the most effective solvent, affording the allylation product in 95% yield $(entries 7–10).$

We next investigated the allylation of camphor N-tosylpyrazolidinone phenylglyoxylate 1g derived from chiral auxiliary **B**.^{[9](#page-10-0)} No reaction products were obtained when 1g was treated with allyltributylstannane in the absence of a Lewis acid. Various metal triflates, including $Sc(OTf)_3$, $Sm(OTf)_3$, $Zn(OTf)_2$ and $Eu(OTf)_3$, were systematically screened, but resulted in either low to moderate chemical yields or low levels of diastereoselectivities (data not shown). Excellent stereoselectivity was obtained when the reaction was carried out in the presence of $Sn(OTf)_2$ in a 5 min reaction (entry 11). The diastereoselectivity was determined from the ¹H NMR spectra of relevant peaks and an HPLC analysis of crude products.

The absolute stereochemistry of the new stereogenic center in the major diastereomer $6g$ was assigned as an (R) configuration, by means of X-ray crystallographic analysis. The reactivity decreased when the reaction was carried out in the presence of PdCl₂ with the major product with the reversed stereochemistry (entry 12). The structure of the major diastereomer 7g was again confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis. A careful study of ¹H NMR spectra indicates that the characteristic C-2 methine proton (camphor numbering) appears at an upfield position (3.16 ppm) for the (R) -isomer compared with that of the counter (S) -product $(4.32$ ppm). The diamagnetic anisotropy effect of the aromatic substituent may account for the shielding effect.

The reversal of stereoselectivity in the allylation of 1f and 1g can be rationalized by the coordination of a Lewis acid with different Lewis base atoms, as shown in [Figure 3.](#page-3-0) The results obtained for the allylation reaction of 1f catalyzed by $Sn(OTf)$ can be explained by the formation of the chelated conformer B ,^{[12](#page-10-0)} which is supported by IR spectroscopic data. Upon the addition of 1 equiv of the Lewis acid $Sn(OTf)_2$, the sulfonyl stretching band was shifted from 1042 to 1028 cm^{-1} . On the other hand, the sulfonyl group absorbs at 1038 cm^{-1} when complexed with 1 equiv of $Zn(OTf)_{2}$. The use of $Zn(OTf)_2$ afforded the desired products, which can be explained by the predominance of the chelated conformer B', leading to the formation of the desired product. Additionally, the reversal of stereoselectivity in the allylation of 1g was deduced from 13 C NMR and FTIR data.^{[9](#page-10-0)} The coordination of the $Sn(OTf)$ ₂ to the sulfonyl group resulted in the rapid release of the nucleophilic allyl group, which may account for the much faster reaction in the presence of $Sn(OTf)_2$ compared to the use of $PdCl₂$ (5 min vs 24 h). The allyl group then attacks the α -carbonyl group from the bottom si face to afford the desired product. In the case of $PdCl₂$, the electron-rich tosyl group prevents nucleophilic addition from the si face, leading to the addition from the top re face.

2.2. Asymmetric reduction

In the second aspect of the study, the diastereoselective reduction of the α -ketoamides was carried out and the results of these experiments tabulated in [Table 3](#page-2-0). Various chiral a-ketoamides were subjected to the reduction conditions and camphor N-phenylpyrazolidinone phenylglyoxylate 1b was used as a model substrate. Treatment of **1b** with NaBH₄ in THF at 0° C resulted in cleavage of the chiral auxiliary. Only a trace amount of the desired products were obtained when the reaction was carried out at -78 °C. A significant improvement in the chemical yield (82%) and excellent diastereoselectivity (>95% de) was achieved when a protic solvent, such as MeOH, was used at a lower temperature (entry 1). The material yield was slightly improved when a sterically demanding reducing reagent, such as $LiB(C_2H_5)_{3}H$, was employed (entry 2). The chemical yield decreased to 50% when K-Selectride was employed at $0^{\circ}C$, but was improved significantly when the same reaction was carried out at -78 °C (entries 3 and 4). The absolute stereochemistry of the newly generated stereogenic center was assigned as an (S)-configuration by an X-ray crystallographic analysis of 8b.

Substrate generality was then investigated for a wide variety of a-ketoamides 1b–e under the optimized conditions. The reduction of the aliphatic ketone 1c with NaBH4 in MeOH provided the product in 80% material yield, while the use of $LiB(C_2H_5)$ ₃H and K-Selectride resulted in excellent stereoselectivities (entries 5–7). The reduction of 1d and a 2-thienyl substituent substrate 1e was examined and excellent material yields and stereoselectivities were obtained (entries 8 and 9). Finally, the reduction of camphor N-phenylpyrazolidinone 3-indoloylglyoxylate with either NaBH4 or K-Selectride virtually failed to yield any detectable products. This was presumably due to the presence of a ketone functionality in conjugation with the nitrogen of an indole, which could result in a decrease in the electrophilicity of the carbonyl carbon, thus leading to a lower reactivity.

Structural aspects of the chiral auxiliary were also investigated under the developed reaction conditions with the camphor N -tosylpyrazolidinone derived α -ketoamides 1g–j [\(Table 3](#page-2-0)). Treatment of camphor N-tosylpyrazolidinone phenylglyoxylate 1g in THF with K-Selectride at -78 °C gave the desired product in 72% chemical yield and good stereoselectivity (80% de) (entry 10). The diastereoselectivity was further improved to 94% when $LiB(C₂H₅)₃H$ was used (entry 11). The diastereomeric excess was determined by ${}^{1}H$ NMR analysis of the relevant peaks of the crude products. The absolute configuration of the newly generated stereogenic center in the minor diastereomer 11g was determined to have an (R) -configuration, as deduced from a single X-ray crystal analysis. In the case of the methylglyoxylate derivative 1h, cleavage of the auxiliary was observed under the conditions used for the reduction. High stereoselectivities were achieved in the case of the ethyl and thienyl derivatives 1i–j (entries 12 and 13).

The stereochemical outcome of the asymmetric reduction may be rationalized by the conformational preference of pyrazolidinone derived α -ketoamides. The pseudo-planar s -trans conformation of the α -dicarbonyl group in 1b–e is electronically favored over its s-cis conformer in the solid state as evidenced by X-ray crystallographic analyses (Fig. 4). This is due to the avoidance of dipole repulsion between the two carbonyl functionalities. In the s-cis conformation, the carbonyls (amide and carbonyl functionalities) are in close proximity, which causes mutual electronic repulsions. To avoid

Figure 4. Proposed mechanism for the asymmetric reduction of α -ketoamides 1b–e (Xc = A) and 1g, i–j (Xc = B).

such repulsions at low temperatures, the carbonyls are oriented anti to each other. The carbonyl group of the amide is directed toward the phenyl ring of the pyrazolidinone moiety in 1b–e, while they are directed away from the *N*-tosyl group in $1g-i$. For the allylation, the presence of a Lewis acid metal chelated to the dicarbonyl oxygen atoms, results in the formation of the preferred s-cis conformation. However, in the hydride reduction reaction the metal cation predominantly monocoordinates to the carbonyl moiety with an s-trans conformation. The bulkier camphor moiety shields the top face of the carbonyl group, thus permitting the hydride to attack from the sterically less hindered re face for 1b– $\mathbf d$ (si face for 1e). In the case of the camphor N-tosylpyrazolidinone derived α -dicarbonyl, the hydride attacks from the less hindered re face for $1g-i$ and the si face for 1. This leads to the formation of the desired α hydroxyl amides with a high level of stereoselectivity.

3. Conclusions

The diastereoselective allylation and reduction reactions of a-ketoamides derived from camphor-based chiral auxiliaries are operationally simple and the products can be obtained at synthetically useful level of chemical yields (up to 98%) and stereoselectivities (up to 98% de). The reversal of stereoselectivity was observed when different Lewis acids were used for the asymmetric allylation. For the asymmetric reduction of chiral a-ketoamides, high to excellent diastereoselectivities were generally obtained when a bulky hydride was used. The stereochemical outcome can be explained based on conformational preferences under the reaction conditions employed.

4. Experimental methods

4.1. General methods

All reagents were used as purchased from commercial suppliers without further purification. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 NMR spectrometer (400 MHz for ${}^{1}H$, and 100 MHz for ${}^{13}C$). Chemical shifts are reported in δ ppm referenced to an internal TMS standard for ¹H NMR and chloroform- d (δ 77.0) for 13C NMR. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO P-1010 polarimeter. EI mass spectra were recorded on Finnigan TSQ-700 at an ionizing energy of 70 eV and HRMS spectra were recorded on JEOL SX-102A. Routine monitoring of reactions was performed using silica gel, glass-backed TLC plates (Merck Kieselgel 60 F254) and visualized by UV light (254 nm). Solutions were evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure on a rotary evaporator and the residues purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (230–400 mesh) with the indicated eluents. Air and/or moisture sensitive reactions were performed under the usual inert atmosphere conditions.

Crystallographic data for the structures in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center and allocated a CCDC deposit number.^{[13](#page-10-0)}

4.2. Procedure for the synthesis of (10,10-dimethyl-2-oxo-3-phenyl-3,4-diaza-tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{1.5}]$ dec-4-yl)-oxo-acetaldehyde, 1a

Oxalyl chloride (3.3 mL, 34.7 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) and added slowly to N-phenyl camphorpyrazolidinone 1 (1 g, 3.9 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) at ambient temperature. The mixture was stirred for 10 min and the solution concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude product was dissolved in benzene (10 mL) and tributyl tinhydride (1.04 mL, 3.9 mmol) then slowly added. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 10 min at ambient temperature and quenched with H₂O (100 mL), extracted with EtOAc (3×75 mL). The layers were separated and the combined organic extracts dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated. The resulting crude product was further purified by silica gel flash column chromatography eluted with hexane/EtOAc $(2:1)$, to afford the pure product 1a (0.99 g) ; 82%) as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.32 (1H, s), 7.39–7.35 (2H, m), 7.24–7.22 (m, 3H), 4.54 (t, 1H, $J = 5.3$ Hz), 2.33–2.0 (m, 5H), 1.53–1.47 (m, 1H), 1.44–1.36 (m, 1H), 1.17 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H); 13 C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 186.2, 170.0, 156.8, 128.7, 126.6, 123.4, 122.2, 68.9, 59.1, 52.4, 46.9, 40.4, 28.3, 26.5, 20.4, 19.9; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{20}N_2O_3$ 312.1474. Found 312.1478.

4.3. Procedure for the synthesis of 1-(10,10-dimethyl-2 oxo-3-phenyl-3,4-diaza-tricyclo[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]dec-4-yl)-2-phenyl-ethane-1,2-dione, 1b

Under a N_2 atmosphere, a mixture of phenylglyoxylic acid (0.64 g, 4.29 mmol) and thionyl chloride (10 mL, 137 mmol) was refluxed for 2 h at 75° C and concentrated. The resulting crude product was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL). N-phenyl camphorpyrazolidinone 1 $(1.0 \text{ g}, 3.9 \text{ mmol})$ in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) was added, followed by stirring for 10 min at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with H_2O (50 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL). The layers were separated and the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $MgSO₄$. The resulting crude product was further purified by silica gel flash column chromatography eluted with hexane/EtOAc $(2:1)$ to give the pure product 1b (1.28 g; 85%): $[\alpha]_D^{27} = -144.8$ (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 7.61–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.42 (m, 3H), 7.38–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.20 (br s, 1H), 4.51 (t, 1H, $J = 4.9$ Hz), 2.28 (td, 1H, $J = 12.0$, 5.1 Hz), 2.06–1.86 $(m, 4H), 1.52-1.47$ $(m, 1H), 1.32-1.29$ $(m, 1H), 1.26$ (s, $3H$), 1.14 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 187.5, 170.2, 158.7, 136.9, 134.8, 132.9, 130.3, 128.8, 128.5, 126.4, 121.9, 68.3, 59.1, 52.2, 47.0, 39.0, 28.3, 26.4, 20.4, 19.8; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{24}H_{24}N_2O_3$ 388.1787. Found 388.1788; IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3008, 2958, 2879, 1730, 1655, 1594, 1491, 1451, 1340, 1298, 1214, 1133, 962, 755; Crystal data for 1b (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at 25° C: $C_{24}H_{24}N_2O_3$, $M = 388.467$, orthorhombic, $P_2P_{12}P_{13}$

 $a = 10.1466$ (3) \AA , $b = 10.9048$ (3) \AA , $c = 18.8303$ (7) \AA , $V = 2083.51$ (11) \AA^3 , $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.238$ Mg/m³, $\mu = 0.082$ mm⁻¹, 9170 reflections, 8137 parameters, $R = 0.052$, $R_W = 0.1267$.

4.3.1. 1-(10,10-Dimethyl-2-oxo-3-phenyl-3,4-diaza-tri- $\text{cyclo}[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]$ dec-4-yl)-propane-1,2-dione, 1c. Yield 60%; $[\alpha]_D^{27} = -52.3$ (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.20 (m, 3H), 4.51 $(t, 1H, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz})$, 2.41 (br s, 3H), 2.28 (td, 1H, $J = 11.8$, 4.7 Hz), 2.04–1.99 (m, 4H), 1.52–1.46 (m, 1H) 1.42–1.37 (m, 1H), 1.17 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H); ¹³C 1H), 1.42–1.37 (m, 1H), 1.17 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H); (100 MHz, CDCl3) d 195.9, 170.1, 158.3, 136.9, 128.6, 126.5, 122.2, 69.2, 59.1, 52.1, 46.9, 39.9, 28.3, 26.4, 26.1, 20.5, 19.7; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}N_2O_3$ 326.1630. Found 326.1626; IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 2998, 2963, 2919, 1740, 1715, 1660, 1594, 1490, 1457, 1418, 1387, 1345, 1320, 1306, 1205, 1115, 968, 759; Crystal data for 1c (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at 25 °C: $C_{19}H_{22}N_2O_3$, $M_{\odot}326.396$, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, $a = 10.1656$ (8) Å, $b = 11.6227$ (9) Å, $c = 15.2081(13)$ Å, $V = 1796.9(11)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.206 \text{ Mg/m}^3$, $\mu = 0.082 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, 2518 reflections, 6858 parameters, $R = 0.0718$, $Rw = 0.1779$.

4.3.2. 1-(10,10-Dimethyl-2-oxo-3-phenyl-3,4-diaza-tri- $\text{cyclo}[5.2.1.0^{1.5}]$ dec-4-yl)-butane-1,2-dione, 1d. Yield 65%; $[\alpha]_D^{27} = -55.7$ (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl₃$ ^{δ} 7.38–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.19 (m, 3H), 4.62 (m, 1H), 3.0 (br s, 1H), 2.66 (br s, 1H), 2.27 (td, 1H, $J = 11.8$, 5.4 Hz), 2.03–1.98 (m, 4H), 1.51–1.45 (m, 1H), 1.40–1.34 (m, 1H), 1.17 (s, 3H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.9, 170.0, 158.5, 137.0, 128.5, 126.4, 122.1, 69.0, 59.0, 52.0, 46.9, 39.6, 32.0, 28.3, 26.4, 20.4, 19.7, 6.9; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{20}H_{24}N_2O_3$ 340.1787. Found 340.1788; IR (neat, cm-1): 2961, 1724, 1661, 1595, 1495, 1457, 1303, 1281, 1103, 1071, 970, 756, 692; Crystal data for 1d (colorless crystal, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at 25° C: $C_{20}H_{24}N_2O_3$, M₂ 340.41, orthorhombic, $P_2O_{12}O_{11}$ $a = 9.8270$ (5) Å, $b = 12.2890$ (7) Å, $c = 15.6450$ (10) Å, $V = 1889.35$ (19) Å³, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.197$ Mg/m³, $\mu = 0.081$ mm⁻¹, 6365 reflections, 227 parameters, $R = 0.0957$, $Rw = 0.1771$.

4.3.3. 1-(10,10-Dimethyl-2-oxo-3-phenyl-3,4-diaza-tricyclo[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]dec-4-yl)-2-thiophen-2-yl-ethane-1,2-dione, 1e. Yield 82%; $[\alpha]_D^{27} = -268.9$ (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCI}_3)$ δ 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.38– 7.35 (m, 4H), 7.21–7.17 (m, 2H), 4.59 (t, 1H, $J = 5.2$ Hz), 2.29 (td, 1H, J 12.0, 5.1 Hz), 2.17–2.13 (m, 1H), 2.03–1.93 (m, 3H), 1.54–1.48 (m, 1H), 1.41– 1.34 (m, 1H), 1.20 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl3) d 179.5, 170.1, 158.0, 139.2, 137.5, 137.0, 136.8, 128.8, 128.6, 126.4, 121.8, 69.2, 59.2, 52.0, 47.1, 39.3, 28.4, 26.5, 20.6, 19.7; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{22}H_{22}N_2O_3S$ 394.1351. Found 394.1350; IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3092, 3003, 2962, 1732, 1652, 1594, 1493, 1458, 1409, 1339, 1301, 1216, 1136, 1071, 1049, 753; Crystal data for 1e (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at 25 °C: $C_{22}H_{22}N_2O_3S$, M 394.48, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, $a = 10.1420$ (3) A, $b = 12.3190$ (4) A, $c = 16.3260 \quad (6) \text{ Å}, \quad V = 2039.76 \quad (12) \text{ Å}^3, \quad Z = 4,$

 $D_c = 1.285 \text{ Mg/m}^3$, $\mu = 0.183 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, 11172 reflections, 254 parameters, $R = 0.0755$, $R_W = 0.1394$.

4.3.4. 1-(10,10-Dimethyl-3,3-dioxo-3 χ^6 -thia-4-aza-tricy $c10[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]$ dec-4-yl)-2-phenyl-ethane-1,2-dione, 1f. Yield 70%; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.97 (d, 2H, J 7.5 Hz), 7.66 (t, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 7.51 (t, 2H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 4.07 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.8$, 4.8 Hz), 3.48 (d, 1H, $J = 13.8$ Hz), 3.42 (d, 1H, $J = 13.8$ Hz), 2.10–1.92 $(m, 5H)$, 1.45 (t, 1H, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 1.35–1.33 (m, 1H), 1.20 (s, 3H), 0.97 (s, 3H); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 186.9, 165.0, 135.1, 132.3, 130.2, 129.0, 64.4, 52.6, 50.0, 48.0, 45.1, 38.2, 32.9, 26.3, 21.0, 19.9; MS (EI) 347 $[M]^+$, C₁₈H₂₁NO₄S; Crystal data for 1f (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at 20° C: $C_{18}H_{21}NO_4S$, *M* 347.42, orthorhombic, $P_1O_1O_1$, $a =$ 7.6490 (2) A, $b = 11.7760$ (3) A, $c = 19.0790$ (5) A, $V = 1758.53(8) \text{ Å}^3$, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.343 \text{ Mg/m}^3$, $\mu =$ 0.210 mm⁻¹, 7515 reflections, 218 parameters, $R =$ 0.0883, $R_W = 0.1495$.

4.4. For the experimental procedure for 1g-j, see Ref. [9](#page-10-0).

4.5. Typical procedure for the synthesis of 4-(2S)- (hydroxy-pent-4-enoyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-phenyl-3,4 diaza-tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{1.5}]$ decan-2-one, 2a

The N-glyoxyloyl N-phenyl camphorpyrazolidinone 1a $(50 \text{ mg}, 0.16 \text{ mmol})$ was dissolved in CH₃CN (2 mL) and $Eu(OTf)$ ₃ (96 mg, 0.16 mmol) and allyltributyltin (0.1 mL, 0.32 mmol) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 5 min at ambient temperature and quenched with H_2O (10 mL). The solution was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL), the layers were separated and the organic extracts dried over anhydrous MgSO4. The crude product was further purified by silica gel flash column chromatography eluted with hexane/EtOAc (1:1) to afford the total amount of products (51.05 mg, 90% yield), major diastereomer $2a$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) d 7.40–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.24 (m, 3H), 5.80– 5.70 (m, 1H), 5.16 (m, 1H), 5.12 (m, 1H), 4.30 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.9$, 5.0 Hz), 4.05 (m, 1H), 2.81–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.53–2.47 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.26 (m, 2H), 2.11 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.5, 7.9$ Hz), 2.08–2.01 (m, 2H), 1.51–1.38 (m, 2H), 1.20 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) d 171.0, 169.1, 138.1, 132.7, 128.9, 126.8, 122.5, 118.7, 69.4, 68.5, 59.2, 52.2, 47.1, 38.9, 37.7, 28.9, 26.7, 20.4, 20.2; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{21}H_{26}N_2O_3$ 354.1943. Found 354.1939. Crystal data for 2a (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at 25° C: $C_{21}H_{28}N_2O_4$, $M = 372.465$, orthorhombic, $P_2P_1P_2$, $a = 9.6023$ (3) Å, $b = 11.7053$ (4) Å, $c = 17.4243$ (7) Å, $V = 1958.45$ (12) \mathring{A}^3 , $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.263$ Mg/m³, $\mu = 0.09$ mm⁻¹, 10744 reflections, 7839 parameters, $R =$ 0.0731, $Rw = 0.1768$.

4.5.1. 4-(2R)-(Hydroxy-2-phenyl-pent-4-enoyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-phenyl-3,4-diaza-tricyclo[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]decan-2-one, **2b.** ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.58–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.29 (m, 7H), 7.14 (1H, m), 5.62–5.55 (1H, m), 5.31 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.2$, 1.8 Hz), 5.26 (d, 1H, $J = 17.2$ Hz), 3.36 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.0$, 5.0 Hz), 3.17 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.4$,

6.1 Hz), 2.90 (s, 2H), 2.85–2.79 (m, 1H), 2.42 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.5, 8.5$ Hz), 2.14–2.04 (m, 1H), 1.99 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.5, 8.0 \text{ Hz}$, 1.90–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.79 (t, 1H, $J = 4.0$ Hz), 1.04 (s, 3H), 1.01 (s, 3H), ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl3) d 176.2, 169.6, 139.7, 139.2, 132.7, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 124.9, 124.6, 122.2, 120.3, 78.4, 66.4, 59.5, 54.9, 48.7, 45.2, 42.1, 27.8, 26.8, 20.5, 19.9; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{27}H_{30}N_2O_3$ 430.2256. Found 430.2255; IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3454, 2961, 1716, 1595, 1496, 1457, 1385, 1354, 1141, 1099, 1071, 922, 754; Crystal data for 2b (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/ EtOAc) at 25 °C: $C_{27}H_{30}N_2O_{3}$, M 430.53, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, $a = 8.8990$ (2) Å, $b = 10.8260$ (3) Å, $c = 24.2140$ (9) Å, $V = 2340.55$ (12) Å³, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.222 \text{ Mg/m}^3$, $\mu = 0.080 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, 7840 reflections, 290 parameters, $R = 0.0653$, $Rw = 0.1225$.

4.5.2. 4-(2S)-(Hydroxy-2-methyl-pent-4-enoyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-phenyl-3.4-diaza-tricyclo^{[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]decan-2-one.} **2c.** ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37–7.30 (m, 4H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 1H), 5.72–5.70 (m, 1H), 5.24 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.0$, 1.8 Hz), 5.18 (dd, 1H, $J = 17.1$, 1.8 Hz), 4.3 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.0$, 5.0 Hz), 2.76–2.73 (m, 1H), 2.68 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.7$, 3.8 Hz), 2.32–2.16 (m, 4H), 2.04–1.96 $(m, 1H), 1.92$ (t, 1H, $J = 3.9$ Hz), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.45 (m, 1H), 1.39–1.32 (m, 1H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H); HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{22}H_{28}N_2O_3$ 368.2100. Found 368.2106. Crystal data for 2c (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at 25° C: C₂₂H₂₈N₂O₃, M 368.46, monoclinic, P_1 , $a = 8.8760$ (10) Å, $b =$ 11.2330 (17) Å, $c = 10.9320$ (19) Å, $V = 1011.1$ (3) Å³, $Z = 2$, $D_c = 1.210 \text{ Mg/m}^3$, $\mu = 1.210 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, 6214 reflections, 245 parameters, $R = 0.0955$, $Rw = 0.2180$.

4.5.3. 4-(2S)-(Ethyl-2-hydroxy-pent-4-enoyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-phenyl-3,4-diaza-tricyclo^{[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]decan-2-one,} 2d. Inseparable diastereomers; IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 3479, 2967, 2938, 2881, 1695, 1595, 1493, 1458, 1382, 1299, 1214, 918, 752.

4.5.4. 4-(2S)-(Hydroxy-2-thiophen-2-yl-pent-4-enoyl)-10, 10-dimethyl-3-phenyl-3,4-diaza-tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]$ decan-**2-one, 2e.** ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35–7.29 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.15–7.10 (m, 1H), 7.03 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$, 1.0 Hz), 6.98 (dd, 1H, $J = 4.8$, 3.6 Hz), 5.68–5.57 (m, 1H), 5.33–5.25 (m, 2H), 3.78 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.9$, 5.2 Hz), 3.18 (br s, 1H), 3.12 (dd, 1H, $J = 15.1$, 8.0 Hz), 2.80–2.74 (m, 1H), 2.65 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.5, 8.0$ Hz), 2.24–2.12 (m, 2H), 1.95–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.83 (t, 1H, $J = 4.1$ Hz), 1.26–1.20 (m, 2H), 1.06 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.2, 169.5, 144.9, 138.9, 131.9, 128.3, 127.4, 125.1, 125.0, 124.2, 122.4, 120.5, 77.9, 67.32, 59.6, 54.7, 48.7, 45.3, 42.3, 27.9, 26.8, 20.4, 19.9; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}N_2O_3$ 436.1821. Found 436.1817. IR (neat, cm-1): 3411, 3076, 3009, 2964, 2882, 1694, 1595, 1495, 1456, 1434, 1389, 1304, 1215, 1093, 754, 705.

4.5.5. 1-(10,10-Dimethyl-3,3-dioxo-3 χ^6 -thia-4-aza-tri- $\text{cyclo}[5.2.1.0^{1.5}]\text{dec-4-yl}$ - $(2R)$ -hydroxy-2-phenyl-pent-4-en-1-one, 4f. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.56–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.26 (m, 1H), 5.79–5.70 $(m, 1H)$, 5.23 (dd, 1H, $J = 16.8$, 1.0 Hz), 5.24 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.6$, 1.0 Hz), 4.02 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.6$, 4.8 Hz), 3.72 $(s, 1H), 3.46$ (d, 1H, $J = 13.5$ Hz), 3.41 (d, 1H, $J = 13.5$ Hz), 3.31 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.8$, 6.2 Hz), 2.63 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.8$, 8.2 Hz), 1.90 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.8$, 6.2 Hz), 1.87–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.65 (m, 1H), 1.35–1.27 (m, 2H), 0.87 (s, 3H), 0.73 (s, 3H); ¹³C $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDC1}_3)$ δ 174.2, 140.1, 132.3, 128.1, 127.8, 125.2, 121.2, 80.0, 67.6, 54.2, 48.2, 47.4, 44.7, 44.5, 38.4, 33.2, 26.3, 20.5, 19.8; MS (EI) 372 ($[M-OH]$ ⁺ .
, $C_{21}H_{27}NO_4S$; IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3581, 2951, 2878, 1677, 1478, 1450, 1414, 1334, 1272, 1215, 1168, 1129, 1067; Crystal data for 4f (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at -73 °C: $C_{22}H_{30}N_2O_4S_8i$, M 446.63, orthorhombic, $P2_1P2_1P2_1$, $a = 8.87220$ (2) Å, $b = 14.4130$ (3) Å, $c = 18.1900$ (5) Å, $V = 2286.67$ (9) Å³, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.297$ Mg/m³, $\mu = 0.224$ mm⁻¹, 14140 reflections, 272 parameters, $R = 0.0720$, $Rw =$ 0.1076.

4.5.6. 1-(10,10-Dimethyl-3,3-dioxo-3 χ^6 -thia-4-aza-tri- $\text{cyclo}[5.2.1.0^{1.5}]\text{dec-4-yl}$ - $(2S)$ -hydroxy-2-phenyl-pent-4-en-1-one, 5f. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.29 (m, 1H), 5.77–5.67 (m, 1H), 5.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.6$, 1.0 Hz), 5.10 (dd, 1H, $J(16.8, 1.0 \text{ Hz})$, 4.31 (s, 1H), 4.00 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.7$, 4.9 Hz), 3.41 (d, 1H, $J = 13.6$ Hz), 3.28 (d, 1H, $J = 13.6$ Hz), 3.10 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.9$, 6.6 Hz), 2.62 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.9, 7.7$ Hz), 2.10–2.04 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.9, 7.7$ Hz), 1.96–1.84 (m, 4H), 1.37– 1.33 (m, 2H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 0.94 (s, 3H); 13 C $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ δ 174.4, 139.2, 132.5, 128.1, 128.0, 125.5, 120.1, 80.6, 67.1, 53.3, 48.8, 47.8, 47.7, 44.7, 38.9, 33.0, 26.4, 21.0, 19.9; MS (EI) 372 $([M-OH]^{+}, C_{21}H_{27}NO_4S); IR$ (neat, cm⁻¹): 3581, 2951, 2878, 1677, 1478, 1450, 1414, 1334, 1272, 1215, 1168, 1129, 1067; Crystal data for 5f (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at -73 °C: C₂₁H₂₇NO₄S, *M* 389.50, monoclinic, *P*2₁, $a = 8.6320$ (2) A, $b = 11.4770$ (4) A, $c = 10.2410$ (3) Å, $V = 997.72$ (5) Å³, $Z = 2$, $D_c = 1.297$ Mg/m³, $\mu = 0.188$ mm⁻¹, 7040 reflections, 249 parameters, $R = 0.0704$, $Rw = 0.1756$.

4.5.7. 4-(2R)-(Hydroxy-2-phenyl-pent-4-enoyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-(toluene-4-sulfonyl)-3,4-diaza-tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]$ decan-2-one, 6g. $R_f = 0.54$ (2:1 hexanes/EtOAc); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{27} = +142.0 \text{ } (c \text{ } 1, \text{ } \text{CHCl}_3);$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14 (d, 2H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.54 (d, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 7.37 (d, 2H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.33–7.26 $(m, 3H), 5.92 (m, 1H), 5.35 (d, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz), 5.28$ (d, 1H, $J = 16.8$ Hz), 3.34 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.2$, 5.5 Hz), 3.15 (s, 1H), 3.02 (s, 1H), 2.76–2.73 (m, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.46–2.42 (m, 1H), 2.00–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.81– 1.78 (m, 3H), 1.27 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 3H), 0.97– 0.91 (m, 1H), 0.86–0.81 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) d 171.7, 153.0, 144.8, 139.5, 136.0, 132.6, 129.3, 129.1, 128.4, 128.0, 124.7, 122.1, 78.4, 67.5, 59.0, 55.1, 49.5, 46.3, 41.5, 28.0, 26.2, 21.7, 20.7, 19.7; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{28}H_{32}N_2O_5S$ 509.2060. Found 509.2053; Crystal data for 6g (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at 20 °C: $C_{28}H_{32}N_2O_5S$, *M* 508.62, monoclinic, P_{3} , $a = 8.6460$ (2) Å, $b = 11.5040$ (3) Å, $c = 13.4890$ (3) Å,

 $V = 1301.10$ (5) \mathring{A}^3 , $Z = 2$, $D_x = 1.298 \text{ Mg/m}^3$, $\mu = 0.165$ mm⁻¹, 4476 reflections, 326 parameters, $R = 0.0652$, $Rw = 0.1377$.

4.5.8. 4-(2S)-(Hydroxy-2-phenyl-pent-4-enoyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-(toluene-4-sulfonyl)-3,4-diaza-tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]$ **decan-2-one, 7g.** $R_f = 0.46$ (2:1 hexanes/EtOAc); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{27} = -71.3$ (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (d, 2H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.67 (d, 2H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.39–7.28 (m, 5H), 5.94 (m, 1H), 5.32 (d, 1H, $J = 10.1$ Hz), 5.26 (d, 1H, $J = 17.2$ Hz), 4.32 $(t, 1H, J = 6.2 \text{ Hz})$, 3.27 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.4$, 6.2 Hz), 2.93 (br s, 1H), 2.66 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.4$, 8.4 Hz), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.08–2.02 (m, 1H), 1.89–1.74 (m, 3H), 1.56 (s, 1H), 1.27–1.16 (m, 2H), 0.90 (s, 3H), 0.70 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.0, 172.9, 145.2, 140.2, 135.6, 133.0, 129.5, 128.9, 128.1, 127.6, 125.4, 121.9, 78.7, 72.5, 60.3, 51.7, 51.1, 47.0, 39.5, 29.1, 26.5, 21.8, 20.2, 19.5; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{28}H_{32}N_2O_5S$ 508.2026. Found 508.2009; Crystal data for 7g (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at -73 °C: $C_{28}H_{32}N_2O_5S$, *M* 508.62, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, $a = 6.3790$ (2) A, $b = 18.5760$ (6) Å, $c = 21.1690(8)$ Å, $V = 2508.45(15)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $D_x = 1.347 \text{ Mg/m}^3$, $\mu = 0.172 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, 4409 reflections, 326 parameters, $R = 0.1054$, $Rw = 0.1766$.

4.6. Typical procedure for the synthesis of 4-(2S)- (hydroxy-2-phenyl-acetyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-phenyl-3,4 diaza-tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{1.5}]$ decan-2-one, 8b

Under a N_2 atmosphere, N-phenyl camphorpyrazolidinone phenylglyoxylate 1b (100 mg, 0.26 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (5 mL) at -78 °C . To this solution, K-Selectride (0.26 mL, 1.0 M solution in dry THF, 0.26 mmol) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was stirred for $2 h$ at $-78 °C$ and quenched with H_2O (15 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, the organic layers separated, washed with saturated NaCl solution (10 mL) and dried over anhydrous MgSO4. The organic layer was concentrated to a solid residue, which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography using hexane/ethyl acetate (1:1) as the eluent to give the corresponding products as a colorless solid (99 mg, 98%), major diastereomer is **8b.** $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -11.5$ (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38–7.28 (m, 7H), 7.2 (t, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 7.04 (d, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 5.04 (s, 1H), 4.16 (br s, 1H), 4.05 (t, 1H, $J = 6.7$ Hz), 2.75–2.72 (m, 1H), 2.25–2.11 (m, 2H), 2.02–1.98 (m, 2H), 1.33 $(m, 2H)$ 1.07 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 3H); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl3) d 171.2, 167.6, 138.2, 137.8, 129.0, 128.8, 128.7, 127.0, 126.5, 121.7, 72.3, 68.0, 59.3, 52.2, 47.0, 37.9, 28.7, 26.6, 20.3, 19.6; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{24}H_{26}N_2O_3$ 390.1938. Found 390.1945; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) : 3462, 2947, 1703, 1659, 1488, 1454, 1320, 1303, 1091, 1052, 912, 724; Crystal data for 8b (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/ EtOAc) at 25 °C: $C_{24}H_{26}N_2O_{3}$, M_{s} 390.47, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, $a = 10.4410$ (3) A, $b = 10.77760$ (3) Å, $c = 16.8250(6)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.254$ Mg/m³, $\mu = 0.083$ mm⁻¹, $R = 0.1187$, $Rw = 0.1875$.

4.6.1. 4-(2S)-(Hydroxy-propionyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-phenyl-3,4-diaza-tricyclo[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]decan-2-one, 8c. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} =$ -67.1 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.20 (m, 3H), 4.28 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.0$, 5.1 Hz), 4.08 (m, 1H), 2.83–2.77 (m, 1H), 2.33–2.26 (m, 1H), 2.10 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.7$, 8.0 Hz), 2.06–2.03 (m, 2H), 1.51–1.36 (m, 2H), 1.33 (d, 3H, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H). ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl3) d 171.7, 170.6, 138.4, 129.0, 126.7, 122.0, 77.7, 68.5, 66.0, 59.3, 52.3, 47.1, 37.3, 28.9, 26.7, 20.5, 20.3; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{24}N_2O_3$ 328.1781. Found 328.1783; IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3462, 2961, 1715, 1597, 1495, 1455, 1371, 1304, 1214, 1107, 1035, 756.

4.6.2. 4-(2S)-(Hydroxy-butyryl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-phenyl- $3,4$ -diaza-tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]$ decan-2-one, $\frac{22}{D}$ = -27.1 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40–736 (m, 2H) 7.25–7.22 (m, 3H) 4.29 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.8$, 5.2 Hz), 3.88 (br s, 1H), 3.01 (br s, 1H), 2.81– 2.74 (m, 1H), 2.35–2.27 (m, 1H), 2.10 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.6, 11.0$ Hz), 2.07–2.02 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.73 (m, 1H), 1.54–1.40 (m, 3H), 1.2 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H), 0.94 (t, 3H, $J = 7.4$ Hz); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.3, 169.8, 138.2, 128.9, 126.8, 122.5, 71.0, 68.5, 59.2, 52.1, 47.1, 37.5, 28.9, 27.6, 26.6, 20.4, 20.2, 9.7; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{20}H_{26}N_2O_3$ 342.1938. Found 342.1939; IR $(n$ eat, cm⁻¹): 3507, 2969, 2929, 2874, 1712, 1652, 1494, 1457, 1354, 1306, 1217, 1049, 758, 712; Crystal data for 8d (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/ EtOAc) at 25 °C: $C_{20}H_{27}N_2O_{3.5}$, M 351.44, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, $a = 10.45480$ (5) A, $b = 10.7670$ (5) A, $c = 16.0710^{10} (9) \text{ Å}^3$, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.290 \text{ Mg/m}^3$, $\mu =$ 0.088 mm⁻¹, $R = 0.1087$, $Rw = 0.2161$.

4.6.3. 4-(2R)-(Hydroxy-2-thiophen-2-yl-acetyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-phenyl-3,4-diaza-tricyclo[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]decan-2-one, **8e.** $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -10.2$ (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.25–7.17 (m, 3H), 7.04–7.0 (m, 2H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 4.06 (m, 2H), 2.74– 2.72 (m, 1H), 2.22–2.18 (m, 1H), 2.2 (dd, 1H, $J = 12.8$, 8.2 Hz), 2.03 (m, 2H), 1.36–1.26 (m, 2H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 3H); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.0, 167.0, 140.4, 138.1, 128.8, 127.0, 126.6, 126.5, 126.3, 121.9, 67.7, 67.6, 59.2, 52.5, 46.9, 38.0, 28.7, 26.7, 20.3, 19.5; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_3S$ 396.1502. Found 396.1501; IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3434, 2992, 2958, 2924, 1712, 1667, 1491, 1457, 1371, 1329, 1306, 1217, 1080, 1046, 769, 713. Crystal data for 8e (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at 25 °C: $C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_3S$, M 396.49 orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_3$ $a = 10.5030$ (3) \AA , $b = 11.5270(4)$ \AA , $c = 16.7140 \cdot (6)$ \AA^3 , $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.301$ Mg/m³, $\mu =$ 0.185 mm⁻¹, $R = 0.1549$, $Rw = 0.3304$.

4.7. Typical procedure for the synthesis of 4-(2S)- (hydroxy-2-phenyl-acetyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-(toluene-4 sulfonyl)-3,4-diaza-tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{1.5}]$ decan-2-one, 10g

Under a N_2 atmosphere, N-tosylcamphorpyrazolidinone phenylglyoxylate 1g (200 mg, 0.43 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (4 mL) at $-78 \degree \text{C}$. To this solution was added L-Selectride (0.86 mL, 1.0 M solution in THF, 0.86 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for

45 min at -78 °C and quenched with H_2O (25 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$, the organic layers separated, washed with a saturated solution of NaCl $(2 \times 25 \text{ mL})$ and dried over anhydrous MgSO4. The organic layer was concentrated to a solid residue, which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography using hexane/ethyl acetate (2:1) as the eluent to give the corresponding product as a colorless solid (121 mg, 60%, major diastereomer is 10g). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14 (m, 1H), 7.53–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.37 (m, 5H), 5.30 (br s, 1H), 3.89 (br s, 1H), 3.50 (br s, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.21–2.17 (m, 2H), 1.94 (m, 1H), 1.86 (m, 1H), 1.78 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.3, 8.1 \text{ Hz}$, 1.25 (s, 2H), 1.19 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.6, 171.1, 145.2, 138.7, 135.9, 129.3, 128.9, 128.7, 127.8, 71.7, 66.3, 59.0, 54.9, 46.9, 39.4, 27.9, 26.2, 21.7, 20.2, 19.7; HRMS (FAB) $(M+H)^+$ calcd for $C_{25}H_{29}N_{2}O_{5}S$ 469.1797. Found 469.1805.

4.7.1. 4-(2R)-(Hydroxy-2-phenyl-acetyl)-10,10-dimethyl- $3-(toluene-4-sulfonyl)-3,4-diaza-tricyclo[5.2.1.0^{1,5}] decay-$ **2-one, 11g.** Minor diastereomer: ${}^{1}H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.85 (d, 2H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.44 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 7.40 (d, 2H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.31–7.23 (m, 3H), 5.82 (br s, 1H), 4.17 (br s, 1H), 3.76 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.8, 5.6$ Hz), 2.52 (m, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 1.78–1.74 (m, 3H), 1.65 (br s, 1H), 1.12–1.06 (m, 1H), 0.80 (s, $3H$), 0.41 (m, 1H), 0.09 (s, 3H); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) d 171.3, 146.8, 137.6, 134.2, 130.2, 129.5, 128.6, 128.5, 128.0, 72.0, 70.1, 60.4, 52.0, 47.5, 34.2, 29.6, 26.9, 21.8, 20.0, 18.5; HRMS (EI) $(M+H)^+$ calcd for $C_{25}H_{29}N_{2}O_{5}S$ 469.1797. Found 469.1794. Crystal data for 11g (colorless crystals, recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc) at 25 °C: $C_{25}H_{28}N_2O_5S$, M 468.55 triclinic, P1, $a = 9.6500$ (2) A^{*}, $b = 10.895$ (2) A^{*}, $c = 12.9202(3)$ Å, $V = 1163.11(4)$ Å³, $Z = 2, D_c =$ 1.338 Mg/m³, $\mu = 0.179$ mm⁻¹, 14805 reflections, 596 parameters, $R = 0.0585$, $Rw = 0.1430$.

4.7.2. 4-(2R)-(Hydroxy-butyryl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-(toluene-4-sulfonyl)-3,4-diaza-tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{1.5}]$ decan-2-one, 10i. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.89 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 7.39 (d, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 7.28 (s, 1H), 4.55 (br s, 1H), 3.91 (br s, 1H), 3.08 (br s, 1H), 2.73 (d, 1H, $J = 13.7$ Hz), 2.48 (s, 3H), 1.95–1.17 (m, 5H), 1.26– 1.20 (m, 2H), 1.06 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H), 1.03 (s, 3H); 13 C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.1, 146.5, 134.4, 130.0, 129.2, 71.0, 70.5, 60.5, 52.3, 47.6, 34.9, 29.6, 29.5, 26.8, 22.7, 20.3, 20.2, 9.8; HRMS (FAB) $(M+H)^{+}$ calcd for $C_{21}H_{29}N_2O_5S$ 421.1797. Found 421.1806.

4.7.3. 4-(2S)-(Hydroxy-2-thiophen-2-yl-acetyl)-10,10-dimethyl-3-(toluene-4-sulfonyl)-3,4-diaza-tricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]$ decan-2-one, 10j. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.86 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 7.41 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 7.24 (d, 1H, $J = 5.0$ Hz), 7.15 (d, 1H, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 6.91 (dd, $J = 5.0, 3.2 \text{ Hz}$, 6.10 (br s, 1H), 4.06 (br s, 1H), 3.76 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.3$, 5.4 Hz), 2.58–2.54 (m, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H), $1.86-1.79$ (m, 3H), 1.75 (d, 1H, $J = 8.3$ Hz), $1.13-$ 1.08 (m, 1H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.47 (m, 1H), 0.33 (s, 3H); 13 C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.6, 170.2, 146.9, 140.5, 134.0, 130.2, 129.4, 127.4, 126.6, 126.3, 70.5, 67.7, 60.3, 52.1, 47.5, 34.2, 29.6, 26.8, 21.8, 20.1, 18.2; HRMS (FAB) $(M+H)^+$ calcd for $C_{23}H_{27}N_2O_5S_2$ 475.1361. Found 475.1357.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China (NSC 94-2113-M-003-003) and National Taiwan Normal University (ORD 93-C). Our gratitude is expressed to the Academic Paper Editing Clinic, NTNU. The collection and processing of the X-ray crystal data by National Taiwan Normal University is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1. Representative allylation references see: (a) Teo, Y.-C.; Tan, K.-T.; Loh, T.-P. Chem. Commun. 2005, 1318–1320; (b) V Vilaivan, T.; Winotapan, C.; Banphavichit, V.; Shinada, T.; Ohfune, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3464– 3471; (c) Lu, J.; Hong, M.-L.; Ji, S.-J.; Loh, T.-P. Chem. Commun. 2005, 1010–1012; (d) Lee, J.-Y.; Miller, J. J.; Hamilton, S. S.; Sigman, M. S. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1837– 1839; (e) Lu, J.; Ji, S.-J.; Teo, Y.-C.; Loh, T.-P. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 159–161; (f) Hirama, L. C.; Gamsey, S.; Knueppel, D.; Steiner, D.; DeLaTorre, K.; Singaram, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 2315-2318; (g) Fernandes, R. A.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 735–738; (h) Kim, J. G.; Waltz, K. M.; Garcia, I. F.; Kwiatkowski, D.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12580-12585.
- 2. Representative reduction references see: (a) Letondor, C.; Humbert, N.; Ward, T. R. PNAS 2005, 102, 4683–4687; (b) Chandrasekhar, S.; Hota, R. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 751–754; (c) Gosselin, F.; O'Shea, P. D.; Roy, S.; Reamer, R. A.; Chen, C.-Y.; Volante, R. P. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 355–358; (d) Ushio, H.; Mikami, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 2903–2906; (e) Lapis, A. A. M.; Fatima, A. D.; Martins, J. E. D.; Costa, V. E. U.; Pilli, R. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 495–498; (f) Schlatter, A.; Kundu, M. K.; Woggon, W.-D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6731–6734; (g) Xu, J.; Wei, T.; Zhang, Q. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 6860–6866; (h) Ohno, K.; Kataoka, Y.; Mashima, K. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4695–4697; (i) Xu, J.; Wei, T.; Zhang, Q. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 10146–10151; (j) Nettles, S. M.; Matos, K.; Burkhardt, E. R.; Rouda, D. R.; Corella, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 2970–2976.
- 3. (a) Keck, G. E.; Truong, A. P. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2149– 2152; (b) Fatima, A. D.; Kohn, L. K.; Antonio, M. A.; Carvalho, J. E. D.; Pilli, R. A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2005, 13, 2927–2933; (c) Keck, G. E.; Yu, T.; McLawa, M. D. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2543–2550; (d) Atobe, M.; Yamazaki, N.; Kibayashi, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 2669– 2773; (e) Faiz, A. K.; Rashmirekha, S.; Jyotirmayee, D.; Savitha, G. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5295–5301; (f) Tosaki, S.-Y.; Nemoto, T.; Ohshima, T.; Shibasaki, M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 495–498; (g) Ramachandran, P. V.; Liu, H.; Ram Reddy, M. V.; Brown, H. C. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3755– 3757; (h) Ghosh, A. K.; Lei, H. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 8783–8788; (i) Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2207–2293; (j) Yamamoto, Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 243–249.
- 4. (a) Scafato, P.; Leo, L.; Superchi, S.; Rosini, S. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 153–159; (b) Loupy, A.; Monteux, D. A. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 1541–1549; (c) Fukuzawa, S.-I.; Miura, M.; Matsuzawa, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42,

4167–4169; (d) Shin, J. A.; Cha, J. H.; Pae, A. N.; Choi, K. I.; Koh, H. Y.; Kang, H.-Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 5489–5492; (e) Bandopadhyaya, A. K.; Sangeetha, N. M.; Radha, A.; Maitra, U. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 3463–3466; (f) Kiegiel, K.; Jurczak, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 1009–1012; (g) Chen, C.-J.; Chu, Y.-Y.; Liao, Y.- Y.; Tsai, Z.-H.; Wang, C. C.; Chen, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 1141–1144; (h) Ghosh, A. K.; Chen, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6811–6814; (i) Soai, K.; Ishizaki, M. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3290–3295; (j) Hanessian, S. Total Synthesis of Natural Product: The Chiron Approach; Pergamon Press: New York, 1983; Chapter 2.

- 5. Corey, E. J.; Yu, C.-M.; Kim, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5495–5496.
- 6. (a) Vedejs, E.; Ahmad, S.; Larsen, S. D.; Westwood, S. J. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3937–3938; (b) White, J. M.; Ohira, S. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5492–5494; (c) Hanessian, S. Total Synthesis of Natural Product: The Chiron Approach; Pergamon Press: New York, 1983; Chapter 2.
- 7. (a) Fan, C. L.; Lee, W.-D.; Teng, N.-W.; Sun, Y.-C.; Chen, K. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9816–9818; (b) Sibi, M. P.; Liu, M. Curr. Org. Chem. 2001, 5, 719–755; (c) Yabu, K.; Masumoto, S.; Yamasaki, S.; Hamashima, Y.; Kanai, M.; Du, W.; Curran, D. P.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9908-9909; (d) Adam, W.; Pastor, A.; Peters, K.; Peters, E.-M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1019–1022; (e) Chu, Y.-Y.; Yu, C.-S.; Chen, C.-J.; Yang, K.-S.; Lain,

J.-C.; Lin, C.-H.; Chen, K. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6993– 6998; (f) Alvarez-Ibara, C.; Csaky, A. G.; Maroto, R.; Quiroga, M. L. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 7934–7940.

- 8. (a) Pan, J.-F.; Venkatesham, U.; Chen, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 9345–9347; (b) Wang, S.-G.; Tsai, H. R.; Chen, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 6183–6185; (c) Lee, W.-D.; Chiu, C.-C.; Hsu, H.-L.; Chen, K. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 6657–6664.
- 9. Chen, J.-H.; Venkatesham, U.; Lee, L.-C.; Chen, K. Tetrahedron, in press.
- 10. Christofers, J.; Mann, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4591–4597.
- 11. (a) Bandini, M.; Cozzi, P. G.; Garelli, A.; Melchiorre, P.; Umani-Ronchi, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 3243–3249; (b) Ooi, T.; Takahashi, M.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11307-11308.
- 12. Similar seven-membered chelates were observed. See references: (a) Jurczak, J.; Prokopowicz, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9835–9838; (b) Garner, P.; Ramakanth, S. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2609–2612.
- 13. 1b (CCDC 286444), 1c (CCDC 286443), 1d (CCDC 286447), 1e (CCDC 286446), 1f (CCDC 286451), 1g (CCDC 281384), 1j (CCDC 281386), 2a (CCDC 287868), 2b (CCDC 247167), 2c (CCDC 247166), 4f (CCDC 286452), 5f (CCDC 286450), 6g (CCDC 281385), 7g (CCDC 281388), 8b (CCDC 286445), 8d (CCDC 286447), 8e (CCDC 287869), 11g (CCDC 286453).